

Solicitation N00383-03-R-AN99, Amendment 0003

The following is added to Section L, Part III- Proposal Content:

3. Part III- Technical Proposal.....Original and 3 copies

The content of the technical proposal shall include the following. No one element shall exceed 15 pages:

- (a) describe ability to design composite RF transparent structures
- (b) describe ability to perform thermal and structural analysis of the radome
- (c) describe ability to process advanced high performance, high temperature and pressure curing composites
- (d) describe ability to perform radome electrical testing
- (e) describe mechanical test capabilities
- (f) describe tooling design capabilities
- (g) describe composite repair capability
- (h) describe personnel and management approach consistent with meeting technical and schedule requirements
- (i) describe available facilities for meeting technical and schedule requirements
- (j) describe approach to providing comprehensive logistics support and configuration management of modified radomes
- (k) describe ability to implement a Quality Assurance program in accordance with Section 4.0 of NSWC specification 802027212200
- (l) describe ability to comply with personnel and facility clearance to the Confidential level. (e.g. prime contractor performing at multiple locations, subcontractors performing tasks requiring access to classified documentation)

Section M, Clause NAVSUPMMA05- Best Value Evaluation, is revised as noted below to correspond with additions to Section L:

(c) Evaluation Methodology:

The Government shall utilize the following methodology in evaluating proposals. A Technical Evaluation, Past Performance Evaluation and Cost/Price Evaluation shall be conducted in accordance with the hierarchy listed below. Technical will be a more important factor than Past Performance and Price. Past Performance will be a more important factor than Price.

- 1) Past Performance- Evaluation Factors (all factors shall be given equal weight in evaluation.)
 - (a) Contractor demonstrated ability to perform on other contracts of this scope and complexity
 - (b) Quality of products previously delivered
 - (c) Timeliness of performance
 - (d) Customer overall satisfaction
 - (e) Management and technical approach met previous contract requirements
- 2) Cost/Price- Evaluation Factors (listed in order of importance)
 - (a) Price- Shall be evaluated to determine fairness and reasonableness.
 - (b) Cost Realism- May include comparison to similar work and past performance. A cost proposal determined to be unrealistic will be assessed as having high risk.
- 3) Technical- Evaluation Factors (listed in order of importance)
 - (a) describe ability to design composite RF transparent structures
 - (b) describe ability to perform thermal and structural analysis of the radome
 - (c) describe ability to process advanced high performance, high temperature and pressure curing composites
 - (d) describe ability to perform radome electrical testing
 - (e) describe mechanical test capabilities
 - (f) describe tooling design capabilities
 - (g) describe composite repair capability

- (h) describe personnel and management approach consistent with meeting technical and schedule requirements
- (i) describe available facilities for meeting technical and schedule requirements
- (j) describe approach to providing comprehensive logistics support and configuration management of modified radomes
- (k) describe ability to implement a Quality Assurance program in accordance with Section 4.0 of NSWC specification 802027212200
- (l) describe ability to comply with personnel and facility clearance to the Confidential level. (e.g. prime contractor performing at multiple locations, subcontractors performing tasks requiring access to classified documentation)

The following changes/clarifications are made to the Statement of Work and Specification:

In the Statement of Work and Specification, Government Facilities were offered to perform the Construction Sample High Power tests and the Initial Production Unit High Power tests as called out in specification paragraphs 4.2.1.4 and 4.2.2.9 respectively. This should not be construed as suggesting that test or any other Government facilities will be available to support contractor testing or production efforts other than those mentioned specifically in the contract. The successful offeror may inquire with NWSC Crane or any other activity for a preferred option after Award of Contract.